UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/593,824 | 11/30/2006 | John Greg Hancock | 14072 US00 | 4632 |
| | 7590 07/15/200 AR & COOPER, P.C. | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 2266 | EADS STATION | EDWARDS, NEWTON O | | |
| ARLINGTON, VA 22202 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1794 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/15/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/593,824 | HANCOCK ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | N. EDWARDS | 1794 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | i3 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or expressions. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transformation. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner | epted or b) \square objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | nte | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/593,824 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8, drawn to a multi-component electrical conductive fiber.

Group II, claim(s) 9-21, drawn to a method of making a multi-component fiber.

If group I is elected, Applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species for the first polyester from claim 2, 4, and 5. Select one Species for examination.

Note claim 3 will not be examined since it depend on it self, but If amended and group I is elected, Applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species fro the modifier from Claim 3. Select one species for examination.

If group I is elected, Applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species for the second polyester from claims 6 or 7. Select one species for examination.

If group II is elected, Applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species for the first polyester from claim 13, 15, and 16. Select one Species for examination.

If group II is elected, Applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species for the second polyester from claims 17 or 18. Select one species for examination.

The special technical feature (STF) is a bicomponent fiber having a first polyester component with electrical conductive material and second polyester component. The STF is shown is the IDS references submitted by Applicant in US 6,710,243, US 4,129,677, and US 2003/0005997, for example. There can be no Unity of invention when the STF of Single inventive concept is shown in the prior art. Hence, Lack of Unity is held by the Primary Examiner under 37 CFR 1.475 and PCT rule 13.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,824 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Primary Examiner Edwards at telephone number (571)272-1521.

/N Edwards/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794